

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/732,897	12/09/2003	Andrew M.K. Pennell	019934-003720US	6930
20350 7	590 01/26/2006		EXAM	INER
TOWNSEND	AND TOWNSEND AN	BERNHARDT, EMILY B		
TWO EMBARCADERO CENTER EIGHTH FLOOR		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	SCO, CA 94111-3834		1624	

DATE MAILED: 01/26/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/732,897	PENNELL ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Emily Bernhardt	1624
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address -
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin by within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u>_</u> .	
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This	s action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowated closed in accordance with the practice under to the condition of t	-	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-59 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) 1-59 are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.	
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc	epted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	•	• •
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s)	•	
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate atent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 10/732,897

Art Unit: 1624

Page 2

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-53, drawn to compounds, compositions where n=1, Ar1= phenyl, naphthyl and HAr= pyrazoles and benzopyrazoles, classified in class 544, subclass 371; class 514 subclasses 254.05-254.07.
- II. Claims 1-3 and 53, drawn to compounds, compositions where n=1, Ar1= pyridyl,pyrazinyl, pyridazinyl and pyrimidyl and HAr= pyrazoles and benzopyrazoles, classified in class 544, subclasses such as 238,295,357,364; class 514 subclasses 252.11, 252.02,252.19.
- III. Claims 1 and 53, drawn to compounds, compositions where n=1 and Ar1=
 remaining rings not provided for in I or II and HAr= pyrazoles and
 benzopyrazoles, classified in class 544, subclasses such as 180,182,277,353; class
 514, subclasses 241,242,249.
- IV. Claims 1-6, and 53, drawn to compounds, compositions where n=1 and HAr= other rings not provided for in I-III, classified in class 544, subclasses such as 366-371; class 514 subclasses 254.01-254.04,etc.
- V. Claims 1-6, and 53, drawn to compounds, compositions where n=2, classified in class 540, subclass 575.
- VI. Claims 54-58, drawn to multiple uses employing compounds where n=1 and Ar1=phenyl, naphthyl and HAr= pyrazoles and benzopyrazoles, classified in class 514, subclass 254.05,etc.

Application/Control Number: 10/732,897

classified in class 514, subclass 252.02,etc.

Art Unit: 1624

VII. Claims 54-58, drawn to multiple uses employing compounds where n=1 and Ar1= pyridyl,pyrazinyl,pyridazinyl,pyrimidyl and HAr= pyrazoles and benzopyrazoles,

Page 3

- VIII. Claims 54-58, drawn to multiple uses employing compounds where n=1 and Ar1= remaining rings not provided for in VI-VII, classified in class 514, subclass 241,etc.
- IX. Claims 54-58, drawn to multiple uses employing compounds where n=1 and HAr= other rings not provided for in VI-VIII, classified in class 514, subclass 254.01,etc.
- X. Claims 54-58, drawn to multiple uses employing compounds where n=2, classified in class 514, subclass 218.
- XI. Claim 59, drawn to multiple uses employing compounds of VI-X and additional active ingredeints, classified in class 514, subclasses various as determined by the exact nature of active ingredients employed.
- If Group IV or IX is elected applicants must pick a specific HAr ring and Ar1 groups as was done in I-III. If one of Groups VI-XI is elected a specific use must be selected and additionally for Group XI applicants must pick a compound group (i.e. one of VI-X) and a single species from this group and an ultimate species as the co-ingredient.
- In addition to an election of any of the above Groups applicants must also elect a single species within the elected group to which claims may be limited should generic claims be found not allowable.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Compounds within I-V relate to compounds of considerable structural dissimilarity in view of the varying rings at Ar1 and HAr as well differing size cores. Thus they are separately classified based at the very least on species/preferred embodiments recited in various claims. Each can support a patent as the compounds of each group are capable of being utilized alone not in combination with other members listed in the Markush group. Different issues of patentability would be expected in view of the structural dissimilarity for compounds of I vs II vs III, etc. as a whole which is evident at the very least by the many provisos recited directed to differing aspects of applicants' compounds.

Inventions I-V and VI-X are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the compounds have more than one use as evidenced at the very least by the method claims encompassing whole ranges of disorders. It is also noted that Groups I-V are not entirely commensurate with use groups VI-X as the provisos make some of the compound groups narrower. Thus different issues of patentability may arise. Note the following rejoinder reminder should method claims be presented that are commensurate with elected compound group.

The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and a product claim is subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be rejoined in accordance with the provisions of MPEP §

Application/Control Number: 10/732,897

Art Unit: 1624

821.04. Process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the patentable product will be entered as a matter of right if the amendment is presented prior to final rejection or allowance, whichever is earlier. Amendments submitted after final rejection are governed by 37 CFR 1.116; amendments submitted after allowance are governed by 37 CFR 1.312.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103, and 112. Until an elected product claim is found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowed product claim will not be rejoined. See "Guidance on Treatment of Product and Process Claims in light of In re Ochiai, In re Brouwer and 35 U.S.C. § 103(b)," 1184 O.G. 86 (March 26, 1996). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, Applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution either to maintain dependency on the product claims or to otherwise include the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Compounds employed in VI-X (vs. XI) may be old or obvious when separately employed but may be patentable due to superior, or synergistic properties not present for the individual components. Within group XI there is more than one invention as the claims embrace multiple combinations for a variety of uses which require independent searches and which are not art-recognized equivalents in the art.

Because of its length and complexity the restriction is being set forth in writing.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Emily Bernhardt whose telephone number is 571-272-0664.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the acting supervisor for AU 1624, James O. Wilson can be reached at 571-272-0661. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Emily Bernhardt Primary Examiner Art Unit 1624

I Beinhaidl